Clinical Epidemiology

End of session, October - November 2018

Universiteit Utrecht

elevate

Conclusion

What went well

- The course is rated a 4.7.
- Depth of content, videos and assessments are rated good to excellent.

What could be improved

- Not all students appreciated the audio feedback.

General information

	Session 4 2017	Session 1 2018	Session 2 2018	Session 3 2018	Session 4 2018
Enrolled learners	**11546**	**12343**	**13209**	**13888**	**14548**
Active learners	**6440**	**6972**	**7591**	**8088**	**8523**
Course completers	**294**	**319**	**334**	**376**	**376**
Paid enrolments	**415**	**442**	**450**	**508**	**508**

Rating:

"It was a challenging course though delivered in simple and easy to understand language, which made it more enjoyable and easy to understand." (5 November 2018)

Results evaluation form

Beyond Coursera's general information, 11 participants have filled in Elevate's evaluation form in the period October - December 2018. 4 females, 4 men.
2 Have a master's degree, 4 have a degree from a professional school and 5 have a doctorate.

Below you'll find the results from the evaluation questionnaire students have filled in.

1. Please rate your satisfaction with each of the following:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
Factual accuracy of content		1	1	1	8
Depth of content			3	6	2
Lecture videos	1		1	3	6
Assessments	1		1	3	6
Discussion forums	1	1	3	4	2
Overall course experience			2	4	5

2. 90.9% (10 people) said that the course workload was just right, 9.1% (1 person) said it was light.
3. 90.9% (10 people) said that the course difficulty was just right, 9.1% (1 person) said the course was somewhat light.
4. Participants spend the following amount of hours to the course:

# Hours	# Participants
1-2	2
2-3	6
3-4	2
4+	1

5. 81.8% (9 people) said that the number of hours spent on this course **was according to their expectations**, 18.2% (2 people) spent more time than expected.

6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
I found the material interesting.			1	2	8
The topic of the course is applicable to my current or potential career.			1	3	7
The course ran smoothly, with few technical difficulties.			2	4	5

7. How would you rate your understanding of the subject:

How would you rate your understanding of the subject	Before the course	After the course
Poor	3	
Fair	4	1
Good	3	5
Very good	0	4
Excellent	1	1

8. How would you assess the impact of the following potential changes to the course?

	Very Negative	Somewhat negative	Neutral	Somewhat positive	Very positive
Cover more topics		1	7	3	
Include more practically relevant content		1	5	2	3
Split into multiple shorter courses	2	3	4	1	1

9. How likely would you be to:

	Very unlikely	Somewhat unlikely	Neutral	Somewhat likely	Very likely
Revisit the course materials in the future?	1		1	6	3
Take this course again	2	5	2	0	2
Take another course by the same instructor(s)?			3	3	5
Recommend this course to a friend?			1	3	7
Take another online course from the same university/institution - if you wouldn't have to pay?			1	2	8
Take another online course from the same university/institution - if you will have to pay?		1	1	5	4

The **most liked aspects** of the course:

- Teaching videos with examples
- The assignments are on practical issues that helps to improve the application of each topic
- I enjoyed the presentation of the material by the instructors. They spoke effectively with the use of visual aids but did not simply read the material. The assessments were challenging and required assimilation of the material.
- It was set up with a logical structure so each week built on the previous week well.
- The interaction activities
- Precise and very relevant material-smooth sailing outline
- Great videos with good visuals and clear instructions. Good explanations about hoe to grade the other students work. Nice to grade other people's work as it makes it more interactive.

The **least liked aspects** of the course and **suggestions for improvement**:

- audio material- peer reviewing (I could't read my review for example) But the audio "forces" you to get more involved
- IT COULD BE MOVE ACTIVE
- Producing videos about our answers
- I have no specific complaint. I think it took me a while to realize that the course was specific to "clinical" epidemiology and not epidemiology in general as a field. Once I fully understood the focus of the course its structure made more sense to me.
- Personally I don't like assignments that require audio recording/video recording, so that was a little off-putting for me.
- difficulty to contact through email with coursera staff
- Readings
- Making a video or audio task, too much hassle.

Additional comments:

- more clinical examples
- Can you introduce a course on Cancer Epidemiology?
- I want more informations about your certificates (elecronic -hard copy - with or without money)